#### IWG-6

#### Preliminary Views and Identification of Future Work

## 1. Agenda Item 1.21: Review of the BR's compatibility analyses of the new Regions 1 and 3 Plans (rev. WRC-97) with other services

### 1.1 Background

Resolution 533 (WRC-97) instructs the BR to perform specific analyses regarding the compatibility of the new Plans with other services sharing the same bands. Agenda Item 1.21 instructs WRC-99 to review the report the BR's analyses.

#### 1.2 Preliminary views

• The U.S. should follow closely the BR's analysis to ensure that its services/networks are sufficiently protected from and not unduly restricted by the new Regions 1 and 3 Plans (rev. WRC-97).

#### 1.3 Necessary U.S. action

- The U.S. should review the BR's analyses, when available, to ensure that its services/networks are sufficiently protected from and not unduly restricted by the new Regions 1 and 3 Plans (rev. WRC-97). The U.S. should verify that the BR is following the criteria agreed by WRC-97.
- Further, the U.S. should review the relative regulatory status of services and systems vis a vis the revised Regions 1 and 3 Plans as a result of WRC-97, and identify any areas where a proposal may be needed.

# 2. Agenda Item 1.19: Feasibility Studies regarding increasing the capacity assigned to each country in the Regions 1 and 3 Plans

#### 2.1 Background

Resolution 532 (WRC-97) establishes an Inter-Conference Representative Group (IRG) to study the feasibility of increasing the capacity assigned to each country in Regions 1 and 3 in the BSS and feeder link Plans. WRC-99 Agenda Item 1.19 requires that WRC-99 determine if it is possible to undertake the replanning.

• U.S. has filed modifications to the Regions 1 and 3 Plans, as have other countries, that could become obsolete if pending modifications are not taken into account in future revisions of the

IWG-6/Document 3 rev.2

- Regions 1 and 3 Plans. This depends on how the work regarding feasibility studies progresses.
- Greatly increasing the capacity of the Regions 1 and 3 BSS and Feeder link Plans could impact Region 2 services also sharing the frequency bands.
- Some Arab and African countries support an allotment plan that would provide 400 MHz to each country.
- Updating of the technical parameters on which the Plans are based (EIRP, type of modulation, protection ratios, antenna patterns, etc.) could increase the flexibility of the Plans and/or impact sharing with other services.
- Resolution 532 (WRC-97) also calls for the IRG to study Annex 7 and avoidance of monopolization of the BSS resource.

#### 2.2 Preliminary views:

- The U.S. supports attempting to increase the capacity assigned to each country to the equivalent of 10 analogue channels, as described in Principle 1 in Annex 1 to Resolution 532 (WRC-97).
- The U.S. is opposed to halting the existing Article 4 modification process at any time, particularly in regards to the Region 2 Plans.
- Any possible replanning must protect, and not introduce additional constraints upon, Region 2 services in order to be feasible, in accordance with Principles 7 and 8 of Annex 1 to Resolution 532 (WRC-97).
- Further work/technical studies are needed to completely develop the U.S. position on issues associated with agenda item 1.19.

### 2.3 Necessary U.S. action

- Perform studies on Annex 7.
- Maintain vigilance to ensure Region 2 interests are not adversely affected.
- Perform feasibility studies regarding Approaches A, B and/or C, as defined by the IRG/GTE, or develop alternative approaches.
- Research historical information on relevant satellites.

# 3. Agenda Item 1.20: Procedural Issues (Including associated technical sharing criteria)

#### 3.1 Background

Agenda Item 1.20 requires the consideration of possible merging of Articles 6 and 7 of Appendices S30 and S30A (the procedures for coordinating and notifying unplanned services with

IWG-6/Document 3 rev.2

respect to the Plans) with Article S9. This agenda item may also involve general consideration of the procedures in Appendices S30 and S30A, or sharing criteria.

### 3.2 Preliminary views

- Changes to the procedures, planned or unplanned procedures, could seriously impact U.S. networks, for example, through "unintended consequences" or intentional restriction of flexibility.
- There are changes to sharing criteria that the U.S. could support, for example Section 5 of Annex 1 to Appendix S30.
- There are sharing situations that are not currently addressed, that should be addressed, such as protection of the 17 GHz Region 2 BSS from modifications to the Regions 1 and 3 Plan.
- The U.S. could support changes to the procedures of Article 4 that would facilitate modification of the Plans.
- Again, further work/regulatory/technical studies are needed to completely develop the U.S. position on these issues.

#### 3.3 Necessary U.S. action

- The U.S. will have to follow this issue carefully to ensure that there are no unintended consequences, or to justify objections to the proposals of other countries.
- Study possible changes to sharing criteria.
- Study possible sharing situations not currently addressed
- Study procedural changes to improve the Plan modification procedures.

#### 4. Prior Consent

#### 4.1 Background

The IRG, established by Resolution 532 (WRC-97), is also tasked with studying the possible combining of the direct-to-home transmission services by satellite broadcasting services in the planned and non-planned bands and its implications on the Radio Regulations. This could involve studies of the possible convergence of BSS and FSS. In addition, Resolution 536 (WRC-97) states that administrations wishing to provide satellite broadcasting services to other administrations should obtain the agreement of those other administrations before providing service.

#### 4.2 Preliminary Views

 No useful purpose would be served by abandoning the present distinction between the BSS and the FSS.

## 4.3 Necessary U.S. Action

- The Department of State will take the lead on this.
- This group should follow developments on this issue closely.
- The appropriate group(s) in the U.S. should develop a position paper and possible input document(s) to JWP 10-11S and/or the IRG and/or the SCRPM.